

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/780,846	02/18/2004	Shaun Thomas Broering	9527L	2517	
7590 11/24/2008 The Procter & Gamble Company			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Division Winton Hill Technical Center-Box 161 3110 Center Hill Avenue Cincinnati, OH 45224			AFTERGUT, JEFF H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1791		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	
10/780,846	
ĺ	
l	

	Jeli H. Altergut	1791				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonm application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following tiperiods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued of VCFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		E below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	A Government and North and Shirt Co.		OTOL 004)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (i	OL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imals filed amandman	t concellng the			
non-allowable claim(s).		•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	cplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and						
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a	a Notice of Anneal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief w	ill not be			
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						
	/Jeff H. Aftergut/					
	Primary Examiner					
	Art Unit: 1791					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As expressed in the FINAL rejection, one skilled in the art of bag manufacture would have known to start with either a tubular film or a sheet material which was colded to manufacture the bag therefore and additionally would have been motivated to employ either a c-folded sheet or a tubular sheet to manufacture the bag. It should be noted that both were provided with an embossed pattern therein and it clearly was desirable to disapped the same subsequent to the processing as suggested by Bustin. The applicant essentially takes the position that the dynamic behavior of the tube is so vastly different than the folded sheet that one would not reasonably expect that the processing used to disengage the ube would not function for the folded sheet artificial. There is simply NOT enough evideon of the same. The applicant asserts the differences and asserts that one would not have even attempted to open (disengage) the c-folded assembly, however as expressed in the FINAL rejection it appears one would not have been motivated to do the same and would have performed the disengaging step whether the starting amterial was a c-folded sheet or a flattened tube.